arXiv:1407.4679v1 [math.CA] 14 Jul 2014

# A GENERALIZATION OF RIEMANN SUMS

OMRAN KOUBA

Abstract. We generalize the property that Riemann sums of a continuous function corresponding to equidistant subdivision of an interval converge to the integral of that function, and we give some applications of this generalization.

In [1] Cezar Lupu asked the following question :

Prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\arctan\frac{k}{n}}{n+k}\cdot\frac{\varphi(k)}{k}=\frac{3\log 2}{4\pi}, \tag{1}$$

where $\varphi$ denotes the Euler totient function. In this short note we will prove the following theorem, that will, in particuler, answer this question.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $\alpha$ be a positive real number, and let $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n}a_k=L.$$

*Then, for every continuous function $f$ on the interval $[0,1]$, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n}f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\,a_k=L\int_0^1\alpha x^{\alpha-1}f(x)\,dx.$$

*Proof.* We use the following two facts:

*fact 1:* for $\beta>0$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}k^\beta=\frac{1}{\beta+1}$.

*fact 2:* if $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a real sequence that converges to 0, and $\beta>0$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}k^\beta\lambda_k=0$.

Indeed, *fact 1.* is just the statement that the Riemann sums of the function $x\mapsto x^\beta$ corresponding to equidistant subdivision of the interval $[0,1]$, converge to $\int_0^1 x^\beta\,dx$.

Mathematical Reflections **1** (2010).

The proof of *fact 2.* is an argument "à la Cesáro". Since $(\lambda_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges to 0 it must be bounbed, and if we define $\Lambda_n = \sup_{k\geq n} |\lambda_k|$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Lambda_n = 0$. But, for $1 < m < n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^\beta \lambda_k\right| &\leq \frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{m} k^\beta |\lambda_k| + \frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} k^\beta |\lambda_k| \\ &\leq \frac{m^{\beta+1}}{n^{\beta+1}}\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_m.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon$ be an arbitrary positive number. There is an $m_\epsilon > 0$ such that $\Lambda_{m_\epsilon} < \epsilon/2$, then we can find $n_\epsilon > m_\epsilon$ such that for every $n > n_\epsilon$ we have $m_\epsilon^{\beta+1}\Lambda_1/n^{\beta+1} < \epsilon/2$. Thus,

$$n > n_\epsilon \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \left|\frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^\beta \lambda_k\right| < \epsilon.$$

This ends the proof of *fact 2.*

Now, we come to the proof of our Theorem. We start by proving the following property by induction on $p$.

$$\forall\, p \geq 0, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+p}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^p a_k = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+p}L \tag{2}$$

The base property ($p = 0$) is just the hypothesis. So, let us assume that this is true for a given $p$, and let

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+p}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^p a_k - \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p},$$

(with the convention $\lambda_0 = 0$,) so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = 0$. Clearly, we have

$$k^p a_k = k^{\alpha+p}\lambda_k - (k-1)^{\alpha+p}\lambda_{k-1} + \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p}\left(k^{\alpha+p} - (k-1)^{\alpha+p}\right),$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned}k^{p+1} a_k &= k^{\alpha+p+1}\lambda_k - k(k-1)^{\alpha+p}\lambda_{k-1} + \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p}\left(k^{\alpha+p+1} - k(k-1)^{\alpha+p}\right), \\ &= k^{\alpha+p+1}\lambda_k - (k-1)^{\alpha+p+1}\lambda_{k-1} + \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p}\left(k^{\alpha+p+1} - (k-1)^{\alpha+p+1}\right) \\ &\qquad - (k-1)^{\alpha+p}\lambda_{k-1} - \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p}(k-1)^{\alpha+p}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+p+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p+1} a_k = \lambda_n - \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+p+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{\alpha+p}\lambda_k + \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+p+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{\alpha+p}\right).$$

Using *fact 1* and *fact 2* we conclude immediately that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+p+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p+1} a_k = \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+p+1}\right) = \frac{\alpha L}{\alpha+p+1}.$$

This ends the proof of (2).

For a continuous function $f$ on the interval $[0,1]$, we define

$$I_n(f) = \frac{1}{n^\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) a_k, \quad \text{and} \quad J(f) = L\int_0^1 \alpha x^{\alpha-1} f(x)\,dx.$$

Now, if $X^p$ denotes the function $t \mapsto t^p$, then (2) is equivalent to the fact that $\lim_{n\to\infty} I_n(X^p) = J(X^p)$, for every nonnegative integer $p$. Using linearity, we conclude that $\lim_{n\to\infty} I_n(P) = J(P)$ for every polynomial function $P$.

On the other hand, If $M = \sup_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{n^\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k$ then $L \leq M$, and we observe that for every continuous functions $f$ and $g$ on $[0,1]$, and every positive integer $n$, we have

$$|I_n(f) - I_n(g)| \leq M \sup_{[0,1]} |f - g|$$

and

$$|J(f) - J(g)| \leq M \sup_{[0,1]} |f - g|\,.$$

So, consider a continuous function $f$ on $[0,1]$. Let $\epsilon$ be an arbitrary positive number. Using Weierstrass Theorem there is a polynomial $P_\epsilon$ such that

$$\|f - P_\epsilon\|_\infty = \sup_{x\in[0,1]} |f(x) - P_\epsilon(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M}$$

Moreover, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} I_n(P_\epsilon) = J(P_\epsilon)$, there exists a positive integer $n_\epsilon$ such that $|I_n(P_\epsilon) - J(P_\epsilon)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for every $n > n_\epsilon$. Therefore, for $n > n_\epsilon$, we have

$$|I_n(f) - J(f)| \leq |I_n(f) - I_n(P_\epsilon)| + |I_n(P_\epsilon) - J(P_\epsilon)| + |J(P_\epsilon) - J(f)| < \epsilon.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1. □

**Applications.**

- It is known that the Euler totient function $\varphi$ has very erratic behavior, but on the mean we have the following beautiful result, see [2, §18.5],

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi(k) = \frac{3}{\pi^2}. \tag{3}$$

  Using Theorem 1. we conclude that, for every continuous function $f$ on $[0,1]$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\varphi(k) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}\int_0^1 x f(x)\,dx. \tag{4}$$

  Choosing $f(x) = \frac{\arctan(x)}{x(1+x)}$ we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\arctan(k/n)}{k(n+k)}\varphi(k) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}\int_0^1 \frac{\arctan(x)}{1+x}\,dx. \tag{5}$$

Thus, we only need to evaluate the integral $I = \int_0^1 \frac{\arctan(x)}{1+x}\,dx$. The "easy" way to do this, is to make the change of variables $x \leftarrow \frac{1-t}{1+t}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^1 \arctan\left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)\frac{dt}{1+t} = \int_0^1 \Big(\frac{\pi}{4} - \arctan(t)\Big)\frac{dt}{1+t} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4}\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{1+t} - I \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $I = \frac{\pi}{8}\log 2$. Replacing back in (5) we obtain (1).

- In the same line of ideas, If $\sigma(n)$ denotes the sum of divisors of $n$, then we have also the following result, see [2, §18.3],

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k) = \frac{\pi^2}{12}.$$

Using Theorem 1. we conclude that, for every continuous function $f$ on $[0,1]$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\sigma(k) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\int_0^1 x f(x)\,dx.$$

Choosing for instance $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+ax^2}$ we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma(k)}{n^2+ak^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{12a}\log(1+a).$$

- Starting from

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varphi(k)}{k} = \frac{6}{\pi^2},$$

which can be provrd in the same way as (3), we conclude that, for every $\alpha \geq 0$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\alpha-1}\varphi(k) = \frac{6}{\pi^2(1+\alpha)} \tag{6}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\alpha-1}\log(k/n)\varphi(k) &= \frac{6}{\pi^2}\int_0^1 x^\alpha \log(x)\,dx \\ &= -\frac{6}{\pi^2(\alpha+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (6) we obtain for $\alpha \geq 0$ the following result :

$$\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\alpha-1}\log k\,\varphi(k) = \frac{6\big((1+\alpha)\log n - 1\big)}{\pi^2(1+\alpha)^2} + o(1)$$

## References

[1] C. Lupu, Problem U131, *Mathematical Reflections.* **(4)** (2009).

[2] G. H. Hardy and E. M.Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers (5th ed.), *Oxford University Press.* (1980).

Department of Mathematics, Higher Institute for Applied Sciences and Technology, P.O. Box 31983, Damascus, Syria.

*E-mail address*: omran_kouba@hiast.edu.sy